

Talk 4 Properties of The squares.

Theorem 1. The operation Sp^i , defined (for $i \geq 0$) in the previous talk, have the following properties:

0. Sp^i is a natural homomorphism
1. If $i > p$, $Sp^i(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq p$.
2. $Sp^i(x) = x^2$ for $|x| = i$
3. Sp^0 is the identity homomorphism.
4. Sp^1 is the Bockstein homomorphism.
5. $\delta^* Sp^i = Sp^i \delta^*$; where $\delta^*: H^*(L; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^*(K, L; \mathbb{Z}_2)$
6. Cartan formula: $Sp^i(xy) = \sum_j (Sp^j x)(Sp^{i-j} y)$.
7. Adem relations: For $a < 2b$, $Sp^a Sp^b = \sum_c \binom{b-c-1}{a-2c} Sp^{a+b-c} Sp^c$.
where the binomial coefficient is taken mod 2.

Remark: The above properties completely characterize the squaring operations and may be taken as axioms.

(0), (1), (2), (5) have been proved in Talk 3.

Talk 4 will be devoted to the proof of (3), (4), (6).

Some preparations for the proof of (7) are also given.

1. Sp^i and Sp^0 .

Recall that:

$\beta: H^*(K, L; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^{*+}(K, L; \mathbb{Z})$ the Bockstein homomorphism of the exact coefficient sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\times 2} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow 0$.

$\delta_2: H^*(K, L; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^{*+}(K, L; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ the Bockstein homomorphism of the sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \xrightarrow{\times 2} \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \beta \text{ is defined as: } & z & : & z & : & \mathbb{Z}_2 & \\ & & | & & | & & \\ & & c' & \mapsto & c & & \\ & & | & & | & & \\ & & \mathbb{Z} & \mapsto & \mathbb{Z} & & \\ & & | & & | & & \\ & & \frac{1}{2}sc' & \mapsto & sc' & & \\ & & | & & | & & \\ & & \beta(\bar{c}) & = & \bar{\frac{1}{2}sc'} & & \end{array}$$

Recall that we have

$$\delta_2 = p_2 \beta$$

where $p_2: H^*(K, L; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(K, L; \mathbb{Z}_2)$
is the mod 2 reduction.

$$\text{Lemma 1. } S_2 Sg^j = \begin{cases} 0 & j \text{ odd} \\ Sg^{j+1} & j \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

Remark. Lemma 1 \Rightarrow (4) follows from (3)

i.e. Sg^0 is identity $\Rightarrow Sg'$ is Bockstein.

Proof of (3): Hint, $\mathbb{RP}^2 \checkmark H^*(\mathbb{RP}^2; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[\alpha]/\alpha^3$.

S^1 : $H^*(S^1; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2\Gamma$, $f: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^2$, $f^*\alpha = \Gamma \checkmark$

S^1 : $\checkmark H^*(S^1; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2\Gamma$.

Exercise.

K : $\dim K = n$. $\forall x \in H^*(K; \mathbb{Z}_2)$

(P.7) Hopf-Whitney theorem $\Rightarrow \exists f: K \rightarrow S^1$ s.t. $f^*\Gamma = x$.

K : unrestricted dimension, $i: K' \hookrightarrow K$

$i^*: H^*(K; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^*(K'; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ injective

(K, L) : $H^*(K, L) \cong H^*(K \cup L, CL) \cong \tilde{H}^*(K \cup L)$.

□

Proof of Lemma 1.: $u \in H^*(K, L; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, $u = \overline{c}$

$$c \mapsto c'$$

↓

$$c \xrightarrow{x^2} sc = 2a$$

Hence. $\beta u = \overline{a} \Rightarrow S_2 u = \overline{a} \pmod{2}$.

$$Sg^j u = \overline{c' \cup_{p-j} c'} = \overline{c' \cup_{p-j} c} \pmod{2}, \quad S_2 Sg^j u = ?$$

Let $j = p - j$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Coboundary formula } \Rightarrow S(c \cup_i c) &= (-1)^i sc \cup_i c + (-1)^j c \cup_i sc \\ &\quad - (-1)^i c \cup_{i+1} c - (-1)^p c \cup_{i-1} c \\ &= (-1)^i 2a \cup_i c + (-1)^j c \cup_i 2a \\ &\quad - (-1)^i c \cup_{i+1} c - (-1)^p c \cup_{i-1} c \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta(\overline{c \cup_i c}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^i a \cup_i c + (-1)^j c \cup_i a & j \text{ odd} \\ (-1)^i a \cup_i c + (-1)^j c \cup_i a - (-1)^p c \cup_i c & j \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow S_2 Sg^j u = S_2(\overline{c \cup_i c}) = \cancel{\beta} \cancel{\beta} \beta(\overline{c \cup_i c})$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & j \text{ odd} \\ \overline{c \cup_{j+1} c} & j \text{ even} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 0 & j \text{ odd} \\ \overline{c \cup_{j+1} c} \pmod{2} & j \text{ even} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 0 & j \text{ odd} \\ Sg^{j+1} u & j \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

remark c) of TOL 2 $\Rightarrow \overline{c \cup_{j+1} c} \pmod{2}$

□

2. Cartan Formula.

$$\varphi_{KOL} : W \otimes K \otimes L \xrightarrow{r \otimes 1} W \otimes W \otimes K \otimes L \xrightarrow{T} W \otimes K \otimes W \otimes L$$

$$\xrightarrow{\varphi_K \otimes \varphi_L} K \otimes K \otimes L \otimes L \xrightarrow{T} K \otimes L \otimes K \otimes L.$$

where T permutes the second and third factors.

$$\dim U = p, \dim V = q, n = p+q-i$$

$$\begin{aligned} Sg_b^i(u \otimes v)(a \otimes b) &= ((u \otimes v) \cup_n (u \otimes v))(a \otimes b) \\ &= (u \otimes v \otimes u \otimes v) \varphi_{KOL}(d_n \otimes a \otimes b) \\ &= (u \otimes u \otimes v \otimes v) T \cdot \varphi_K \otimes \varphi_L T \cdot r \otimes 1(d_n \otimes a \otimes b) \\ &= (u \otimes u \otimes v \otimes v) \sum_{j=0}^n \varphi_K(d_j \otimes a) \otimes T^j \varphi_L(d_{n-j} \otimes b) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{j=0}^n (uv_j u)(a) \otimes (v v_{n-j} v)(b) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n (Sg_b^{p-j} u \times Sg_b^{q-n+j} v)(a \otimes b) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow Sg_b^i(u \otimes v) &= \sum_{j=0}^n Sg_b^{p-j} u \times Sg_b^{q-n+j} v \\ &= \sum_{s=i-q}^p Sg_b^s u \times Sg_b^{i-s} v \quad s = p-j \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^i Sg_b^s u \times Sg_b^{i-s} v \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow Note that we have $x \otimes y = \Delta^*(x \otimes y)$. Δ : diagonal map

$$Sg_b^i(x \otimes y) = \Delta^* Sg_b^i(x \otimes y) = \sum Sg_b^j x \otimes Sg_b^{i-j} y.$$

□

$\Rightarrow Sg_b^i$ group homomorphism not ring homomorphism.

$Sg_b = \sum Sg_b^i$ ring homomorphism.

$$\text{For } u \in H^i(K; \mathbb{Z}_2). \quad Sg_b^i(u^i) = \binom{i}{i} u^{i+i}.$$

3. Squares in $\prod K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 1)$.

Denote by $K_n = \prod_{i=1}^n K^i$, $K^i = K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 1)$, for each i

$$H^*(K^i; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[x_i] \Rightarrow H^*(K_n; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n].$$

Let $T_1 = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$, $T_2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_i x_j$, ..., $T_n = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$
be the elementary symmetric function of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n .

Since $H^n(K_n; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong [K_n, K(\mathbb{Z}_2, n)]$, $T_n \in H^n(K_n; \mathbb{Z}_2)$
 $\rightsquigarrow \exists f: K_n \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}_2, n)$. s.t. $f^* l_n = T_n$
 where l_n is the fundamental class of $K(\mathbb{Z}_2, n)$.



What we want to do is to prove:

$$f^*: H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}_2, n); \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^*(K_n; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

is injective for $n \leq 2n$.

3.1. Cohomology of $H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}_2, n); \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

Notations: Sequence $I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\}$ with $i_r > 0$ ir integer.

$$S_I^2 \triangleq S_I^{i_1} S_I^{i_2} \cdots S_I^{i_r}; \text{ if } I = \emptyset, S_I^2 \triangleq S_I^0.$$

$$\text{Example: } S_I^{(4, 2, 1)} = S_I^4 S_I^2 S_I^1.$$

Def: I is admissible if $i_j \geq 2i_{j+1}$; if I is admissible, we say that S_I^2 is admissible.

Example: $I = (4, 2, 1)$, $I = (5, 2, 1)$, ...
 $I = (i) \quad i > 0$.

Def: $I = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\}$

$$l(I) = r \cdot \text{length of } I;$$

$$d(I) = \sum_{j=1}^r i_j \cdot \text{the degree of } I; \quad S_I^2: H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^{*+ld(I)}(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$

If I is admissible,

$$e(I) = 2i_1 - d(I) = 2i_1 - i_1 - i_2 - \dots - i_r \\ = (i_1 - 2i_2) + (i_2 - 2i_3) + \dots + (i_{r-1} - 2i_r) + i_r$$

the excess of I .

* Serre's theorem:

$$H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}, n); \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 [Sq^I(l)] , \quad I \text{ runs through all} \\ \text{admissible sequence } I \text{ with } e(I) \leq n.$$

Remark. ① Serre's theorem can not be proved here.

② (Proposition 4). K. any CW-complex, $\forall u \in H^n(K; \mathbb{Z})$.

$$\text{if } e(I) > n, \quad Sq^I(u) = 0$$

$$e(I) = n, \quad Sq^I(u) = (Sq^J(u))^2, \quad \text{where if } I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r), \quad J = (i_2, \dots, i_r).$$

Proof: Exercise.

③ (P28, Corollary of Theorem 2). $Sq^I(l)$ are linearly independent.

Proof: After Theorem 2. Exercise.

④ (Corollary of Proposition 3) $Sq^i l_n \neq 0$, for $0 \leq i \leq n$.

3.2 Steenrod Squares on the Cohomology of K_n

Proposition 3. $Sq^i \bar{\tau}_n = \bar{\tau}_n \bar{\tau}_i$. $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. $Sq \bar{\tau}_n = Sq\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \bar{\tau}_i\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n Sq \bar{\tau}_i = \dots = \bar{\tau}_n \cdot \left(\prod_{i=0}^n \bar{\tau}_i\right)$

$$\Rightarrow Sq^i \bar{\tau}_n = \bar{\tau}_n \bar{\tau}_i.$$

Remark: Remark ④ above is true.

$$S = \mathbb{Z}[\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n] \subset \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \cong H^*(K_n; \mathbb{Z})$$

Ordering on the monomials of S :

For any monomial $m = \tau_{j_1}^{e_1} \tau_{j_2}^{e_2} \dots \tau_{j_s}^{e_s}$. with $j_1 > j_2 > \dots > j_s$

Def: $m < m'$ if $j_i < j'_i$ or
if $j_i = j'_i$ and $m/\tau_{j_i} < m'/\tau_{j_i}$

Example 1. $\tau_3 \tau_2 < \tau_3^2 \tau_1$

Example 2. Let x be an monomials with $\deg x = i+j$. $x \in S$.

then $x \leq \tau_{i+j}$.

$$\Rightarrow Sq^i \tau_i \leq \tau_{i+j}, \quad Sq^i \tau_i = \tau_i^2 < \tau_{i+j}$$

Example 3. $Sq^k m \leq \tau_{j_1} \dots$

Theorem 2. I admissible, $e(I) \leq n$. $\Rightarrow Sq^I \tau_n = \tau_n \cdot Q_I$

where $Q_I = \tau_{i_1} \cdot \tau_{i_2} \cdots \tau_{i_r} + X_I$

X_I is a sum of monomials of low order

Proof: Induction on the length of I

$$l(I)=1. \quad Sq^i \tau_n = \tau_n \tau_i, \quad Q_I = \tau_i. \quad \text{True.}$$

Assume that the facts of Theorem 2 is true for ~~length~~ $< r$.

Let $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$ $J = (i_2, \dots, i_r)$ admissible, $l(J) = r-1 < r$

$$\begin{aligned} Sq^I \tau_n &= Sq^{i_1} Sq^J \tau_n = Sq^{i_1} (\tau_n \cdot Q_J) = \sum_{m=0}^{i_1} Sq^m \tau_n \cdot Sq^{i_1-m} Q_J \\ &= \tau_n \cdot \tau_{i_1} \cdot Q_J + \sum_{m=0}^{i_1-1} Sq^m \tau_n \cdot Sq^{i_1-m} Q_J \\ &= \tau_n \cdot \tau_{i_1} \cdots \tau_{i_r} + \tau_n \cdot \tau_{i_1} \cdot X_J + \sum_{m=0}^{i_1-1} \tau_n \cdot \tau_m \cdot Sq^{i_1-m} Q_J \end{aligned}$$

Example 3 $\Rightarrow Sq^{i_1-m} Q_J \leq \tau_{i_2-1} \dots \quad 2i_2-1 < 2i_2 \leq i_1$

$$\Rightarrow \tau_{i_1} \cdot X_J + \sum_{m=0}^{i_1-1} \tau_n \cdot \tau_m \cdot Sq^{i_1-m} Q_J \leq \tau_{i_1} \cdot \tau_{i_2} \cdots \tau_{i_r}.$$

Remark: ① ∇_I , I runs through all admissible sequence of degree $\leq n$, are linearly independent in S , hence in $H^*(K_n; \mathbb{Z}_2)$
Theorem 2 $\Rightarrow S^2 \nabla_I$ are also linearly independent.

② Remark ③ in 3.1 above is proved.

③ Serre's Theorem + $S^2 \nabla_I$ linearly independent \Rightarrow

$f^*: H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}_{2,n}); \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^*(K_n; \mathbb{Z}_2)$
is injective for $* \leq 2n$, where $f^* \nabla_I = \nabla_I$.